MILLER PATENT SRVCS

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

### OCT 2 0 2009

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Patent Application of:

Inventor(s)

Siegel, et al.

Filed

9/18/2001

Application No.

09/955,397

Confirmation No. Group Art Unit

1207

Examiner

3621

Docket Number

John Winter SNY-R4757

Title

Audio and Video Digital Content Delivery

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING / FAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

[ ] deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

[ ] transmitted electronically to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

[X] transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Applicant, Assignee or Reg. Representative; Jerry A. Miller, Reg. No. 30779

Signature: /Jerry A. Miller 30779/

Date: 10-20-09

### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Sir:

This is to document the telephone conversation with Examiner Winter of 10/12/2009, 10/16/2009 and 10/19/2009. The undersigned spoke with Examiner Winter on these days regarding the outstanding Office Action in the present application. The substance of those discussion is outlined below:

Examiner Winter indicated that the claims should be amended to more closely tie the method to an apparatus per the Bilski case in order to overcome the section 101 rejection.

The 112 issues will be addressed by either cancellation of the relevant claims or

Application No.: 09/955,397

10/19/2009 23:07 919-816-9982 MILLER PATENT SRVCS PAGE 03/11

redrafting them in independent form.

Examiner Winter explained his interpretation that the current claims can be interpreted to read on a system wherein a user pays a subscription fee or contract fee to obtain access to content such as pay-per-view previews, and that this could be interpreted as the first transaction (first usage rule). This is similar to subscribing by contract to a satellite service. The subsequent usage rights could then be interpreted as the actual purchase of Pay-Per-View rights. The undersigned had not considered this interpretation of the claims previously and appreciates the Examiner's insight.

During our final discussion. Examiner Winter indicated that he and his SPE had reviewed the specification and felt that the embodiment spanning pages 9 and 10 distinguished over the art. They proposed that the claims be amended to include the features of a key code used to extract the content obtained under the second set of usage rights. The undersigned consulted with his client and his client has agreed to the amendment, but reserves the right to pursue other claims in a continuation.

The undersigned appreciates Examiner Winter's agreement to working with the undersigned in order to move this application forward and looks forward to working further with Examiner Winter.

Respectfully submitted.

/Jerry A. Miller 30779/ Jerry A. Miller Registration No. 30,779

Dated: 10/19/2009

Please Send Correspondence to: Jerry A, Miller Miller Patent Services 2500 Dockery Lane Raleigh, NC 27606 Phone: (919) 816-9981 Fax: (919) 816-9982

Customer Number 24337

Application No.: 09/955,397